



ICS 3

MAY 3, 2023 - BRUSSELS

(IL-)LEGALITIES SURROUNDING THE PANDEMIC

DDR RENATE HOLZEISEN



HOLZEISEN

LEGAL

www.holzeisen-legal.com
info@holzeisen-legal.com

DDr. Renate Holzeisen

Barrister practicing before the Supreme Courts
Human Rights Lawyer, Litigator
Europe, Italy

Board Member

Children's
Health Defense
EUROPE



www.childrenshealthdefense.eu

Misuse of PCR-testing and the omission of medical care – the starting points for the illegal Covid-19 measures

What made non-scientific based Covid-19 measures, like

- lockdowns
- mask mandates
- market authorization of experimental so-called Covid-19-“vaccines” even with vaccine mandates in certain EU member states

possible?

1. misuse of PCR-testing outside the scientific gold standard (absurd high number of threshold cycles, non-consideration of clinical symptoms etc.) created enormous numbers of “cases”
2. omission of (and the right) medical treatment of patients.

Urgent need for a revocation of the market authorization of mRNA-Covid-19-“vaccines”

because of

- evident lack of a “vaccine”-efficacy
- enormous risks for health and life
- now even a 5 year renewable “standard” authorization

Gross Violation

Gross violation of

- TFUE, Articles 168 and 169
- EU Charter, Articles 3, 35 and 38
- Directive 2001/83/EC (Community Code relating to medicinal products) articles 8, 11, 26, 54, 58, 59, 86 et seq., 101 et seq., Annex I, Part I, Part III, Part IV
- Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 (Community procedures for authorization and supervision of medicinal products) Articles 3 to 7, 10a, 12, 14-a,
- UN Declaration on the Human Genome and Human Rights

by circumventing high testing standards provided for gene-based medicinal products

Directive 2009/120/EU

Illogical, scientifically not valid exclusion of substances formally declared as vaccines against infectious diseases from the category of the gene therapy products, despite of their composition and action

Directive 2009/120/EU:

"Gene therapy medicinal products shall not include vaccines against infectious diseases"

mRNA-"vaccines" are treated by EMA / European Commission as conventional vaccines

mRNA-"vaccines" have nothing in common with conventional vaccines

saves manufacturers numerous time-consuming and financially expensive preclinical studies



Incalculable dramatic consequences for the Public Health

- No genotoxicity studies
- No carcinogenicity studies
- No mutagenicity (modification of DNA) studies

Reverse transcription of RNA into DNA is a mechanism that has been known for many decades (since the 1970s)!

Nothing new, nothing that can simply be ruled out.

Lipid nanoparticles can enter all kinds of cells – purpose of their inclusion

Certain lipids used have never been approved for use in humans.

Committee for Advanced Therapies was illegally not involved

Committee for Advanced Therapies MUST be involved in authorization procedure, even if medicinal products would not be classified as advanced therapy medicinal products, but function in essential aspects like these

- recitals (8), (10), (11) (12) (13) (20) of Regulation (EC) No 1394/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 November 2007 on advanced therapy medicinal products

Committee for Advanced Therapies

WAS NOT INVOLVED

in the market authorization of mRNA-“vaccines”



Non-observance of authorization requirements for vaccines based on genetic engineering

- EMA/European Commission did even not observe the specific guidelines for DNA vaccines
- They explicitly and exclusively refer to the WHO guidelines for conventional vaccines
- WHO guidelines are in principle not binding in any way.
- The mere reference to the WHO guidelines for conventional vaccines is absolutely unacceptable!
- EMA states: no genotoxicity and carcinogenicity studies were carried out because the components of the substance (lipids and RNA) are “not expected” to have any genotoxic potential!



- EMA confirms that “Russian roulette is being played” with the entire unsuspecting EU population (and their descendants).

Gross Violation

Gross violation of

TFUE Articles 168 and 169 TFEU

EU CHARTER OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS Articles 3, 35 and 38

Directive 2001/83/EC Articles 8, 11, 26, 54, 58, 59, 86 and ff, 101 and ff, Annex I, Part I, Part III, Part IV,

Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 Articles 3 to 7, 10a, 12, 14, 14a, 20, 20a, 25a, 57, 81, 84a,

Commission Regulation (EC) No 507/2006 Articles 5 and 7

Notwithstanding the

➤ omission of the most fundamental studies the initially only conditional marketing authorization

of the mRNA-“vaccines” COMIRNATY (Pfizer/BioNTech) and Spikevax (Moderna)

➤ market authorization was converted into an 5 years valid authorization without specific conditions!

Non-fulfilment of specific obligations imposed for the conditional marketing authorization of the Covid-19-“Vaccines”

- Violation of Article 14-a of Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 and Commission Regulation (EC) No 507/2006
- Non-completion of ongoing clinical studies or the non-beginning of new studies to confirm the positive risk-benefit balance within 2023 and 2024.
- Placebo group was cancelled already in early 2021
- Efficacy and safety have never been clinically tested and confirmed!

Conditional marketing authorization of medicinal products

according to Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 Article 14-a point (3) possible only if

- risk-benefit balance is positive
- applicant is likely to be able to provide comprehensive data

Only after the fulfillment of the specific obligations set out in paragraph 4 of Article 14-a of Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 a renewable market authorization valid for 5 years is possible.

If a holder of an authorization granted in accordance with Article 14-a of Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 has failed to comply with the obligations laid down in the authorization,

- immediate suspension or revoke of the authorization is obligatory.

BioNTech and Moderna have disbanded in early 2021 the control groups of their clinical studies

Instead of immediately revoking the conditional authorization, the European Commission

- granted the regular marketing authorization
- declared that the specific conditions of the conditional marketing authorization were fulfilled!

Which is clearly the untruth!

A Mass Experiment

EU population handed over by EU Commission and EMA to a mass experiment as guinea pigs for experimental substances based on genetic engineering

Gross violation of Regulation (EU) No 536/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 on clinical trials on medicinal products for human use.

- Medicinal treatment may only be carried out with the free informed consent of the person concerned

EU population was and is kept in the dark about

- real nature of the substances injected,
- disastrous risk-benefit balance,
- missing of essential study data,
- lack of adequate pharmacovigilance.

Only those who are correctly and fully informed can make a “free” decision:

- population deliberately misled has not been able to make a “free” decision
- all “consent forms” signed by vaccinees are null and void.

Conditions for the applicability of Commission Regulation (EC) No 507/2006

Conditions for the applicability of Commission Regulation (EC) No 507/2006 have been artificially created in order to place the experimental Covid-19-“vaccines” on the market.

Conditional authorization of medicinal product possible only, if the medicinal product is:

- intended for the treatment or prevention of seriously debilitating or life-threatening diseases
- intended to be used in emergency situations against a threat to public health identified either by the WHO or by the EU.

PHEIC could be declared only after beginning of brazen misuse of the RT-qPCR test and worldwide creation of enormous numbers of false positive SARS-CoV-2 infection cases

Never a real PHEIC also because of the overall low Infection Fatality Rate (IFR)

At no time existed conditions for a conditional marketing authorization of the experimental covid-19-injections

Further conditions for the conditional marketing authorization (Article 4 Commission Regulation EC 507/2006)

- a) positive risk-benefit balance;
 - b) applicant expected to be able to provide the comprehensive clinical data;
 - c) medical care gap can be closed;
 - d) public health benefit of the immediate availability of the medicinal product on the market outweighs the risk due to the lack of additional data.
- a) A positive risk-benefit ratio could never be established, due to
 - generally low *infection fatality rate* (comparable to that of a moderate flu for the total population and de facto zero for children and adolescents)
 - serious side effect cases recorded in the EudraVigilance database (including thousands of fatalities and hundreds of thousands of other most serious irreversible side effects)
 - b) Applicants disbanded in early 2021 the placebo group – no clinical trial data for confirmation of efficacy or safety
 - c) proven by thousands of doctors worldwide: there has never been a de facto gap in medical care.
 - d) there is no evidence of any benefit to public health, on the contrary.

Gross violation of TFEU articles 168 and 169 and EU Charter Articles 3, 35 and 38

- The EU legislator guaranteed a high level of health protection and high standards of quality and safety for medicinal products and medical devices

Article 3 EU Charter (right to integrity):

(1) Everyone has the right to respect for his or her physical and mental integrity

(2) In the fields of medicine and biology, ...must be respected in particular: the free informed consent of the person concerned, ..., the prohibition on making the human body and its parts as such a source of financial gain,

Article 35 EU Charter (health protection), every person ... is guaranteed a high level of health protection in the definition and implementation of all Union policies and activities.

Article 169 TFEU (consumer protection) consumers are guaranteed that ... the EU shall contribute to protecting the health and safety of consumers and to promoting their right to information.

Article 38 EU Charter (Consumer Protection): policies of the Union shall constitute a high level of consumer protection.

Actions for annulment according to Art. 263 TFUE pending before the European Court

against authorization of

- Comirnaty of Pfizer/BioNTech (T-109/23)
- Spikevax of Moderna (T-108/23)

Legal procedure documents (action for annulment, etc.)
are published on Children's Health Defense Europe
website: www.childrenshealthdefense.eu





HOLZEISEN

LEGAL

Thank you for your
attention
and support!

www.childrenshealthdefense.eu

Follow us on SM

Children's
Health Defense
EUROPE 



